

ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>Robert Goldsmith  
<ADDRESS1>9265 Haden Lane  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>West Chestero  
<STATE>OH  
<ZIP>45069  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>513-779-1798  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>rgoldsmith@cinci.rr.com  
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>Robert Goldsmith  
<ADDRESS1>9265 Haden Lane  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>West Chestero  
<STATE>OH  
<ZIP>45069  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>513-779-1798  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>rgoldsmith@cinci.rr.com  
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>robert goldsmith  
<ADDRESS1>9265 Haden Lane  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>West Chestero  
<STATE>OH  
<ZIP>45069  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>513-779-1798  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>rgoldsmith@cinci.rr.com  
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge  
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how  
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am  
concerned that this proposal could make my current service  
unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make  
few long distance calls would pay the same as people or  
businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and

primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Robert Goldsmith  
9265 Haden Lane  
West Chester, Ohio 45069